Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11584-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,446

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The abstract of the disclosure is objected by MPEP 608.01(b). The disclosure is

objected to because of the informalities. Claims 1,4 are objected to because of the

informalities. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pai (US

2004/0075636 A1, Pai, hereinafter). Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being

unpatentable over Pai in view of Chow (US 6,836,149, Chow, hereinafter). Claim 3 is

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pai in view of Sunohara (US:200)

2003/0038771 A1, Sunohara, hereinafter). Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Pai and Sunohara as applied to claim above, and further in view

of Matsuura (US 5,619,169 A, Matsuura, hereinafter).

The applicant corrects the abstract, the informalities of disclosure and the

informalities of claims. The applicant also amends the Claim 1 to overcome 35 U.S.C.

102 (e). Then, under the amendment of the Claim 1, the dependent claim 2 is patentable

over Pai in view of Chow. Moreover, the applicant respectfully disagrees and traverses

the rejections of Claims 3,4 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a). Otherwise, new Claim 5 is added

from splitting the Claim 4.

Therefore, it is believed that no new matter adds by way of amendment to claims or

otherwise to the application. After traversing of the aforementioned rejections, Claims

1-5 remain pending in the present application, and reconsideration of those claims is

respectfully requested.

Discussion of Objections

DEC-11-2006 MON 16:45 FAX NO.

P. 12/15

Customer No.: 31561

Docket No.: 11584-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,446

The abstract of the disclosure is objected by MPEP 608.01(b). The disclosure is

objected to because of the informalities. Claims 1,4 are objected to because of the

informalities. The applicant corrects the abstract, the informalities of disclosure and the

informalities of claims. On the other hand, the word "swtemptempitches" is not found in

the applicant's specification but found in the web of USPTO. It might be a transforming

error of the web.

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pai.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection for at least the reasons that Pai fails to

teach or suggest "...the differential signal transmitter comprises a signal amplifier, which

converts and amplifies the differential signal before the differential signal is transmitted

from the differential signal transmitter." as recited in claim 1. Withdrawal of the

rejection is courteously requested.

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 103

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Pai in view of

Chow. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pai in view

of Sunohara. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pai

and Sunohara as applied to claim above, and further in view of Matsuura. The applicant

amends the Claim 1. Therefore, the dependent claim 2 is patentable over Pai in view of

Chow. Moreover, the applicant respectfully disagrees and traverses the rejections of

Claims 3,4 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a).

10

PAGE 12/15 * RCVD AT 12/11/2006 3:42:16 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/18 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):03-38

DEC-11-2006 MON 16:45

FAX NO. P. 13/15

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11584-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,446

As defined in the claim 3 of the invention, it is interpreted that "wherein the differential signal transmitter comprises a signal amplifier, which converts and partially

amplifies the differential signal before the differential signal is transmitted from the

differential signal transmitter." The feature of the invention is that the differential signal

transmitter amplifies the differential signal before the differential signal. As found in

paragraph [0021] of Sunohara, it is interpreted that "[a] CMADS receiver for receiving a

signal of a CMADS amplitude via each of the internal CMADS bus lines and amplifying

the signal to provide the amplified signal to a serial-parallel conversion circuit..... "The

technique of the Sunohara is that a CMADS receiver amplifies the signal after receiving

the signal. Apparently, the invention amplifies the signal before the transmission. On the

other hand, Sunohara amplifies the signal after the transmission. Therefore, the Claim 3

is patentable over Pai and Sunohara as applied to claim above, and further in view of

Matsuura.

An embodiment of the claim 4 is described in the Fig 8 in the invention. The

corresponding embodiment of the Matsuura is described in the Fig 1 of the Matsuura.

Referring to the Fig I of the Matsurra and the Fig 8 of the invention, the mechanism of

transistors 2a, 2a', 2b, 2b' of Maturra is different from the mechanism of switches

830,840,850,860. In the invention, the switches 830,840,850,860 are under the scope of

the digital circuit. Therefore, the digital switches 830,840,850,860 select the states of

the "turn on" and "turn off" separately. However, the variations of the currents flowing

though the transistors 2a, 2a', 2b, 2b' described in Fig 3A and Fig 3B are to prove that the

11

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11584-US-PA Application No.: 10/708,446

transistors 2a, 2a', 2b, 2b' are under the scope of the <u>analog circuit</u>. The mechanism of the analog circuit is different from the digital circuit. As a result, the Claim 4 is patentable over Pai and Sunohara as applied to claim above, and further in view of Matsuura.

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11584-US-PA Application No.: 10/708,446

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 1-5 are in proper condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: Dec. 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7th Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: <u>belinda@jeipgroup.com.tw</u>

<u>Usa@jeipgroup.com.tw</u>